To: Moffatt.Brett@epa.gov[Moffatt.Brett@epa.gov]

Sent: Thur 12/18/2014 6:07:56 PM Subject: FW: Version 1 of the MOA

Dec 13 1982 Transmittal R9 to HQs CA Primacy (AG response and MOA).pdf

From: Albright, David

Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 2:43 PM **To:** Tiago, Joseph; Dermer, Michele

Cc: Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa; Bergman, Ronald; Smith, Robert-Eu; Darman, Leslie

Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Joe –

Attached is a copy of a memo with attachments we found in our files. The brief cover memo, dated Dec 13, 1982 is from a section chief in our Water Program to Phil Tate, UIC Review Coordinator, State Program Division (HQs). There are two attachments: 1) a letter from CA Deputy AG to EPA Region 9 regarding the CA primacy application; and 2) MOA between DOGGR and EPA. As you will see, this version of the MOA (signatures appear identical to those on the version you have) contains a change in the language about aquifer exemptions on p.7 and includes a longer Attachment 2 list, which is a combination of all the formations on Attachments 2 and 3 of the version of the MOA you have. This version of the MOA makes no reference to Attachment 3.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks.

David

From: Tiago, Joseph

Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 1:34 PM

To: Dermer, Michele

Cc: Albright, David; Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa; Bergman, Ronald; Smith, Robert-Eu

Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Michele,
Thanks for sending this version 1 of the MOA. This is what we have in our file. Do you want us to still send it to you?
I look forward to receiving version 2.
I am in tomorrow and happy to work with Leslie to look at the files in our possession.
Joe.
From: Dermer, Michele Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 4:05 PM To: Tiago, Joseph Cc: Albright, David; Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa Subject: Version 1 of the MOA
Hi Joe,
I need to get a scanned version of the one with the longer Attachment 2 and no attachment 3. I do not have that in my possession.